Towards enhancing the detection of adulteration in bioactive food products
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Abstract

This contribution aims to add a voice towards enhancing the detection of adulteration in bioactive food products. Bioactive foods—strongly associated with plant-based chemical compounds, have been shown to boost human immune function and promote health/wellbeing. On the other hand, adulteration generally makes food products to fall short of legal standards, become impure, unsafe and not wholesome. Given that food products can get adulterated either accidentally, intentionally, metallically or naturally, foods that contain bioactive compounds will not be exempted. Adulteration and adulterants therefore pose serious danger to both authenticity and quality of bioactive foods. Considering this challenge, rapid detection methods are needed to enhance the authenticity of bioactive product quality as well as consumer confidence/safety.

Keywords: Adulteration; Adulterant; Bioactive foods; Detection methods; Microscopic analysis.

US Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act declares a food is adulterated ‘if any valuable constituent had been in whole or in part omitted...or if any substance has been substituted wholly or in part...or if damage or inferiority has been concealed...or if any substance has been reduced its quality or strength or make it appear of greater value than it is’ (Eversstine et al., 2013). Thus, food adulteration either adds/removes any substance to or from food to affect natural composition and quality (Munikrishnan, 2013). The mixture/substitution of substances largely considered as inferior and/or removal of ingredients to intentionally debase food quality altogether can render a product toxic and thus, affect human health to deprive essential nutrient required for proper development and growth. Indeed, consumers would feel cheated when food gets adulterated, as they have insufficient knowledge about purity and quality of food particles (Jha, 2016). Notably, food adulteration presents itself in different forms, that is, accidental, intentional, metallic and or natural forms. Accidental (unintentional) form can occur due to either ignorance or lack of facility to sustain food quality, for example, inappropriate food handling. Intentional (non-accidental) form can occur due to the pursuit for financial gain that can be found in various practices, for example, addition of water to an already liquid-type food product (Munikrishnan, 2013). Metallic form can occur due to the accidental/intentional addition of metallic substance. Metallic, in this instance, broadly refers to such chemical element(s) as iron (Fe), lead (Pb), mercury (Hg) and Tin (Sn). Typical examples of metallic form of adulteration include lead from water, mercury from effluents, tin from cans, and so on (Adhikari, 2018). Natural form can occur due to the presence of certain organic compound(s)/radical(s) capable of posing (serious/severe) health hazard(s)/risk(s) and neither accidentally nor intentionally added, for example, toxic varieties of pulses (Munikrishnan, 2013).

For emphasis, the deliberate/intentional addition, adulteration, misrepresentation, substitution and/or tampering of food and food ingredients or provision of false/misleading statements about a given food product for economically motivated reason(s), amounts to food fraud (Tähkäpää et al., 2015). Particularly, adulterant can refer to any substance present within other substances, which compromises efficacy, effectiveness and/or safety of the said substance. In addition, methods of food adulteration can also involve mixing, substitution, concealing quality, decomposed food, misbranding, false labeling as well as toxicants (Adhikari, 2018; Eversstine et al., 2013; Munikrishnan, 2013). Further, food product can be considered to become adulterated based on the following: (a) not meeting nature of quality/substance for consumer demand; (b) to possess either cheaper or inferior substance; (c) to prepare/keep/pack un-
Okpala Opinion

Typical examples of foods/food items together with its respective bioactive chemicals/compounds are shown in Table 1. All these foods items largely plant-based, from grapes, raspberry, vegetables, orange juice to various baby foods, contain bioactive chemicals/compounds (Rao, 2003; Shahidi and Peng, 2018) that play active/ key role in health promotion and disease reduction (Shahidi, 2009; Watson and Preedy, 2010). More so, berry fruits particularly rich source of (natural) antioxidants, are represented by vitamin C and such polyphenols like anthocyanins, phenolic acids, flavonols and tannins. As demonstrated by clinical research, the bioavailability of those naturally occurring compounds can significantly exceed the health gains/merits exhibited by their corresponding supplements already commercially obtainable in pharmaceutical form(s) (Szajdek and Borowska, 2008). Designed to meet four consumer demands of convenience, price, sample proposition and taste however, bioactive foods perform on the principle that both cancer and heart disease are concerns of fatigue and stress, hence the need for them to be part of daily diet to prevent coronary artery disease (CAD) (Sharma and Singh, 2010).

On the other hand, the beneficial components in functional foods have been associated with such (specific) terms like phytochemicals, functional components, as well as bioactive components (Pennington, 2002). Actually, bioactive foods were initially defined as “foods, food ingredients or dietary supplements that demonstrate specific health or medical benefits, which includes prevention and treatment of disease beyond basic nutritional functions”. Primarily, bioactive foods are fortified with such nutrients as vitamins, minerals and nutraceuticals (Sharma and Singh, 2010). Further, bioactive foods can possess such phytochemicals with biological functions, and largely classified either as non-starch polysaccharides (cellulose, hemicellulose, etc.), antioxidants (polyphenolic compounds, flavonoids, carotenoids, etc.), detoxifying agents (reductive acids, phenols, flavones, carotenoids, etc.) and others (alkaloids, volatile flavor compounds, amines, etc.) (Rao, 2003). Specifically, bioactive compounds (also called bioactives) not only show effects on human health but also distinguish from nutrients and non-nutrients, with bioavailability that partially overlap with nutritional and pharmacological principle. Anyways, bioavailability (simply called bioactivity) encompasses range of bioaccessibility, metabolic and physiological activity (Shahidi and Peng, 2018). Comprising of wide variation of chemical function(s) and structure(s) however, bioactive foods—strongly associated with plant-based chemicals, possess the capacity to boost immune function as well as promote effective health/wellbeing. Some typical examples of foods/food items together with its respective bioactive chemicals/compounds are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Some typical examples of foods/food items together with its respective bioactive chemicals/compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foods/Food Items</th>
<th>Bioactive chemicals/compounds</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables, e.g. Broccoli</td>
<td>Tocopherols, Carotenoids</td>
<td>Rao, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millet grain</td>
<td>Phenolics</td>
<td>Shahidi and Peng, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy bread</td>
<td>Isoflavones</td>
<td>Shahidi and Peng, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapes</td>
<td>Flavonoids, Phenolics</td>
<td>Shahidi and Peng, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raspberry, Blueberry</td>
<td>Anthocyanins, Phenolics</td>
<td>Shahidi and Peng, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange juice</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Shahidi and Peng, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish oil</td>
<td>Omega-3 fatty acid</td>
<td>Rao 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Tocopherols, Carotenoids</td>
<td>Rao, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumeric</td>
<td>Eugenol, Capsicin</td>
<td>Rao, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black beans</td>
<td>Phenolics, Flavonoids</td>
<td>Shahidi and Peng, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various baby foods</td>
<td>Carotenoids</td>
<td>Shahidi and Peng, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whilst the intentional tampering of food is not a recent drawback, there is reported evidence where food adulteration/fraud brought about severe casualties in many parts of the globe, for example, China, Italy, Spain, Ukraine, among others (Tăhăpăă et al., 2015). Largely, adulteration targets both food products that possess high commercial value and those produced in high tonnage (Cordella et al., 2002). Therefore, any food that contains bioactive compounds will not be exempted (from both adulterants and adulteration). For example, adulteration in food products of bioactive importance include: addition of or dilution with water/sugar (fruit juice), addition of corn/cane sugar (honey), addition of cheaper seed oil (vegetable oil), as well as addition of cheaper berries (berry jam). Also, soy can be adulterated by genetic modification (in the European Union) (Cordella et al., 2002). Besides, some serious (global) issues have arisen from the adulteration of bioactive foods. For example, adulteration of olive oil with hazelnut oil caused EU countries economic loss of approx. €4 million/year. Asian honey was banned in Europe because illegal antibiotics were found present. Phthalate plasticizer di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate as clouding agent, attracted attention of (various) regulatory authorities/general public when it was used to replace replace palm oil in foods (Lohumi et al., 2015). Even between 1820s and 1850s, the use of water was reported as an adulterant to increase the bulk/weight in olive oil. Also, ground rice, mustard seed husks and sawdust were used to increase the overall bulk/weight of cayenn
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Increasing pace/rapidity in detecting adulterants and adulteration is therefore warranted. In fact, there is a greater/urgent need in products of bioactive importance, more rapid detection methods mentioned here) that confront adulterants and adulteration in food and promoting health/wellbeing (Ellis et al., 2012). To wrap up, the effective use of these (abovementioned) analytical/microscopic specialist facilities certainly should as well as strengthen the rapid detection of adulteration/adulterants in bioactive food products across (many parts of) the globe.
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