Qualitative and quantitative changes of nonvolatile compounds and taste profiles in Wuyi rock tea during the manufacturing processes
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\section*{Abstract}

Wuyi rock tea (WRT), a type of oolong tea, is famous for ‘rock flavor’ with characteristic taste and aroma. The qualitative and quantitative changes of the nonvolatile constituents and taste profiles were investigated during the WRT production process by analyzing of polyphenols, caffeine, amino acids, L-theanine and total sugar, coupled with sensory evaluation and determination of dose-over-threshold values. The contents of L-theanine, total sugar, amino acids and some catechins varied considerably except for GA, GCG and GC that increased significantly during the process, while the amount of caffeine was relatively stable. Astringent, bitter, sweet and umami taste properties were predicted. In accordance with the sensory evaluation, there was no umami-like taste in the finished tea that underwent full fire processing, which is essential for WRT to enhance the mellow, heavy taste, and weaken the bitter taste. EGCG and caffeine contributed the most to the astringent, bitter taste of WRT. This study provides a comprehensive profile of the changes in taste characteristics during the WRT manufacturing process.
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\section*{1. Introduction}

Tea (\textit{Camellia sinensis}) with a pleasant taste and potential health benefits made from the leaves of the tea plant. It is the most popular and consumed beverage besides water around the world (Ho et al., 2015). According to the different tea processing, the tea can be classified into black, white, yellow, green, dark, and oolong tea (Yi et al., 2015). Oolong tea, which is a partially fermented tea is highly appreciated by tea drinkers in Southeast China area and is gaining popularity due to its special flavors (Chen et al., 2010).
Wuyi rock tea (WRT), one kind of oolong tea, is mainly produced in the Northern Fujian area. It is famous for ‘rock flavor’ with a characteristic aroma and taste with mellow, heavy and thick attributes, which is produced by a series of manufacturing processes. Tea manufacturing is the crucial factor that affects tea quality. The production process substantially determines both the properties of the tea flavor and the relevant chemical constituents, and subsequently the nutritional and biological properties of teas (Lin et al., 2015). There are dozens of steps in the conventional WRT manufacturing from fresh tea leaves to the final product, including withering, making (a total of five steps of shaking, i.e. from the first to the fifth shaking), fixing, rolling, roasting twice from the first and second roast, and full fire processing. Tea samples of the individual processing steps have different flavor properties and chemical components, which originate from biochemical reactions or thermal reactions, and form the basis for the flavor generation of the final product tea (Cho et al., 2007). Withering and making are considered to be the vital processes for oolong tea flavor formation. Chemical transformations of plant metabolites and the liberation of flavor compounds occur in these two processes (Lin et al., 2015; Cho et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2018). During the WRT manufacturing process, enzymes are inactivated in the fixing processing. After this procedure flavor compounds can only be formed non-enzymatically (Kuo et al., 2011). Furthermore, the full fire processing is a special drying procedure, which is a long-lasting and highly intensive roasting procedure, and is essential for the production of heterocyclic compounds with nutty or roasted flavor (Guo et al., 2018). A number of early studies focused on the effect of individual process such as withering, making or fixing on the quality of oolong tea, especially on roasting odor formation under high-intensity drying treatment (Hu et al., 2018; Kobayashi et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2016; Sheibani et al., 2016). However, there are few reports of changes in nonvolatile components during the whole WRT processing.

Nonvolatile compounds in the tea leaves have important health-promoting effects and are precursors of aroma chemicals, and ultimately influence the sensory characteristics and economic value of the tea (Liu et al., 2018). Some crucial bioactive constituents in tea, such as catechins, caffeine, and amino acids are also important components that determine the taste of the tea infusion (Liu et al., 2018; Mao et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2014). Saccharides contribute to the sweet taste and are also important substrates for the production of tea aroma during thermal reactions (Mao et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2014; Qu et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2019). L-theanine, which is the most abundant nonprotein amino acid in teas, shows biological activities and also contributes significantly to the umami taste of tea and is an aroma precursor (Guo et al., 2018; 2019). The nonvolatile components in oolong tea mainly derive from fresh tea leaves. After the leaves are plucked, they undergo various reactions during the tea production process such as aggregation, degradation, oxidation, and isomerization, which result in the formation of flavors and other substances that strongly influence the quality of the tea, especially the taste (Jiang et al., 2019; ÖLmez and Yilmaz, 2009).

To the best of our knowledge, the non-volatile compounds in Wuyi rock tea, either in chemical compositions or in taste properties and their changes during production, have been rarely comprehensive analyzed and reported. Knowledge of the composition of nonvolatile substances, their taste profiles and changes throughout the processing chain would assist tea manufacturers either to improve the tea taste or to avoid the generation of undesired flavors.

In the present work, nonvolatile polyphenols, caffeine, L-theanine, total sugar and free amino acids were analyzed in twelve samples at different processing stages from fresh tea leaves to the finished tea product, which was subjected to full fire processing. The aim was to provide the scientific foundation for the processing and quality improvements of Wuyi rock tea.

2. Experimental

2.1. Tea materials

The preparation of Wuyi rock tea (cultivar “duixian”) with ‘rock-flavor’ was the same to Guo et al. (2018). The oolong tea samples were provided by ManTingFeng Tea Co., Ltd (Wuyi Mountain City, China), and were produced by a tea master with more than 30 years of experience in preparing of tea in 2016 according to the traditional oolong tea processing, including withering, making (a total of five steps, consisted of first shaking, second shaking, third shaking, fourth shaking and fifth shaking), fixing, rolling, roasting twice (first and second roasting) and full fire processing. The detailed parameters of WRT processing were from Guo et al., (2021) and are shown in Table S1. The tea samples immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and then maintained at ~80 °C until use. The tea samples corresponding to the process steps were FTL (fresh tea leaves), Wi-OT (withering, oolong tea), 1S-OT (making, first step), 2S-OT (second step), 3S-OT (third step), 4S-OT (fourth step), 5S-OT (fifth step), Fx-OT (fixing, oolong tea), Ro-OT (rolling, oolong tea), FRT-OT (first roasting), SRt-OT (second roasting) and FF-WRT (full fire, Wuyi rock tea). All tea samples were freeze-dried and were ground into powder with an ultra-mill (Changsha Hongjinge Mechanical Equipment Co., Ltd., Changsha, China) until the tea sample could pass through a 350 μm sieve.

2.2. Chemicals

Deionized water was prepared by a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts). The authentic standards were all of chromatographic grade. Detailed information of reference standards, solvents and their suppliers are listed in the Table S2.

2.3. Determination of polyphenols and caffeine

The preparation of tea infusion and analytical procedure was previously published by Guo et al. (2021). In brief, the extraction of polyphenols and caffeine (CAFF) was conducted two times by adding 5 mL of 70% methanol to 0.1 g of tea powder in a 10 mL centrifuge tube. The suspension was sonicated with the assistance of KQ-500DE mode ultrasonic (Kunshan Ultrasound Instruments Co., Ltd., Kunshan, China) for 20 min at room temperature. The two supernatants were combined and diluted to 10 mL with 70% methanol after centrifugation at 3,500 rpm for 10 min, and then filtered through 0.22 μm nylon membrane (Baierdi Technology Co., Ltd., Hefei, China). Deionized water was prepared by a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts). The authentic standards were all of chromatographic grade. Detailed information of reference standards, solvents and their suppliers are listed in the Table S2.
ing their retention times and UV spectra with those of authentic standards, and were quantitated by external calibration standards. All experiments were run in three replicates.

2.4. Determination of free amino acids

The preparation of tea sample was the same to Guo et al. (2021). 0.10 g of tea powder and 10 mL of 4% sulfosalicylic acid was placed in a 10 mL centrifuge tube and sonicated at 500 W for 30 min. The supernatant was filtered through a nylon membrane (0.22 μm, Baierdi Technology Co. Ltd., Hefei, China) after centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 30 min. The determination of free amino acids was conducted on a L-8900 automatic amino acid analyzer system (Hitachi, Japan). The amino acids were separated by high performance cation-exchange chromatography using a 262SC-PH ion separation column (4.6 mm ID × 60 mm L, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan, packed with 3 μm ion exchange resin) and post-column derivatization by ninhydrin. The temperature of the separation column (Hitachi Ltd.) and reaction column (Hitachi Ltd.) was 57 and 135 °C, respectively. The flow rate of pump I (buffer solution, pressure 10.0 MPa) and II (reaction solution, 1.07 MPa) was 0.40 and 0.35 mL/min, respectively. The injected sample volume was 20 μL and the detector wavelengths were set at 570 and 440 nm for signal channel one and two, respectively. The total run time was 32 and 10 min in signal channel one and two, respectively. The free amino acids were identified by comparing spectra and retention time of the reference amino acids. Quantitation was done via external calibration curves. All experiments were performed in triplicates.

2.5. Determination of L-theanine

The analytical method was modified from Guo et al. (2019). The detection was carried out on a Waters Model 2695 HPLC system coupled to a UV detector using a Symmetry Shield RP18 column (250 × 4.6 mm i.d., 5 μm; Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, MA, USA). 1.0 g of tea powder was extracted twice with 100 mL of boiling distilled water using a KQ-500DE ultrasonicator (500 W, Kunshan Ultrasonic Instruments Co., Ltd., Kunshan, China) for 10 min. After filtration, the residue was removed. Subsequently the filtrates were combined and diluted with distilled water to 200 mL after cooling. Then, the tea infusion was filtered through a 0.45 μm polyethersulfone (PES) membrane (Baierdi Technology Co. Ltd., Hefei, China) before subsequent HPLC analysis. The mobile phases consisted of solvent A (water) and B (acetonitrile), and the linear gradient was as follows: 0–10 min 100% A, 10–12 min linear ramp to 20% A, 12–20 min remain 20% A, 20–22 min linear ramp to 100% B, 22–40 min remain 100% B and the UV detector wavelength was set at 210 nm. The injection volume was 10 μL with the flow rate of 1 mL/min, and the column temperature was 28 ± 1 °C. L-theanine was identified by comparing absorption spectrum and the retention time with those of the authentic standard. The concentration of L-theanine was calculated from the standard curve. All experiments were run in three replicates.

2.6. Determination of total sugar

The tea infusion was prepared by the method of Guo et al. (2018). Briefly, 1.0 g of tea powder and 80 mL of distilled water with 20 mL hydrochloric acid was placed in a beaker flask. The mixture was sonicated in a KQ-500DE mode ultrasonic (500 W, Kunshan Ultrasonic Instruments Co., Ltd., Kunshan, China) for 15 min. Subsequently, the reaction was carried out in a water bath for 3 h at 100 °C. This brew was designated as the initial tea infusion. 0.1 mL of the initial tea infusion was added to a test tube (10 mL) with glass stopper and diluted with distilled water to 2 mL, and 1.0 mL of 6% phenol (w/v, in water) and 5 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid were added rapidly, the tubes were allowed to stand for 5 min, shaken and placed for 15 min at 10 °C using a water bath, and then cooled in ice-bath before readings were taken at 490 nm. Blanks were prepared by substituting distilled water for the tea infusion. The amount of total sugar was determined using a standard curve previously generated with for D-glucose. All experiments were run in three replicates.

2.7. Sensory evaluation

The method of sensory evaluation on oolong tea was slightly modified from Liu et al. (2018). In brief, 5.0 g of tea samples from SRt-OT and FF-WRT, respectively, were carried out in a tea cup brewed with 110 mL of boiling water with the lid put on for 5 min. Then the tea infusion was subjected to a sensory test. All the tea samples were coded using three-digit numbers. After brewing, the samples were randomly offered to panelists to evaluate the tea taste. Panelists recorded the taste descriptors as well as the intensity values of the samples. The six taste attributes, namely mellow, bitter, astringent, sweet, heavy and thick were identified to describe the oolong tea taste by the panelists. The intensities of the taste attributes were scored using a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 = none or not perceptible intensity, 3 = weak intensity, 5 = moderate intensity, 7 = high intensity, and 10 = extremely high intensity. Each sample was evaluated three times by each panelist on different days, and the taste intensity was expressed as the average from all the panelists.

The taste of tea samples was evaluated by the trained panelists, which included six females and four males from 24 to 45 years old. All assessors had more than 5 years of experience in describing the taste attributes of teas. Panelists were trained by a series of important taste compounds including glucose as sweet taste, citric acid as sour taste, caffeine as bitter taste, salt (NaCl) as salty taste, EGCG as astringent taste, and sodium glutamate as umami taste.

2.8. Statistical analysis

All the determination were performed in triplicates. The data were analyzed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Dun-cane’s multiple-range tests by use of SPSS Statistics 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) to determine the statistical difference (p-value <0.05).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Changes in nonvolatile compounds during the WRT processing

3.1.1. Gallic acid, catechins and caffeine

The concentrations of eight polyphenols including seven catechins such as EGCG, EGC, ECG, EGC, EC, GC and C as well as one phenolic acid GA were quantitated during the WRT manufacturing processes. They were grouped into two categories according to their trends in their concentration changes. The contents of GA, GC and GCG increased in the late stages of the process and the
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others showed mixed trends (Figure 1). GA and GC contents gradually increased up to 2.35 and 7.91 μg/mg, respectively, until the final stage of FF-WRT, while the GCG level remained stable but rose sharply in FF-WRT to 1.04 μg/mg, which was significantly higher than the levels found in other stages. As for other compounds, a similarity was that the content in Wi-OT was higher than

Figure 1. The contents of polyphenols and caffeine during Wuyi rock tea manufacturing processes. (a) EGCG; (b) C; (c) ECG; (d) EC; (e) EGC; (f) GA; (g) GCG; (h) GC; (i) CAFF.
that in FTL and then the content was relatively stable during the making stages. The concentrations were significantly reduced after the fixing (Fx-OT) and rolling (Ro-OT) treatment, increased during the roasting processing (FRt-OT and SRt-OT), but there was a significant reduction after full firing processing (FF-WRT). For EC and ECG the lowest amount was even determined in FF-WRT. In contrary to the polyphenol content, the caffeine content during tea processing was relative stable in the range of 20.04–22.30 μg/mg, in line with the findings in other teas (Mao et al., 2018).

Generally, catechins and EGCG in particular are regarded as the most biologically active ingredients in tea, and they are considered the major compounds imparting bitter and astringent taste, and affecting the color of tea infusion (Wang et al., 2000). The processes involved in the manufacturing of tea play a vital role in the dynamic content changes of catechins, which are caused by the hydrolysis of ester-catechins (EGCG, GCG and ECG), enzymatic oxidations, polymerizations and non-enzymatic reactions of catechins (Seto et al., 1997). Probably for these reasons, the amounts of EC and ECG in the final product tea were significantly lower than in FTL, while the contents of other polyphenols in tea were significantly higher than in FTL. The level of EGCG was significantly higher than the levels of the other polyphenols in all tea samples suggesting that EGCG was one of the major contributors for astringency taste in WRT. It should be noted that some catechins showed a significant increase in quantity, such as GC and GCG, or a significant reduction in content, such as EGCG, EGC, ECG and EC, from the SRt-OT to the FF-WRT stage. This observation is mainly due to the epimerization of EGCG, EGC, ECG and EC at the C-2 position of the flavan-3-ols under thermal treatment (Seto et al., 1997). The GA content significantly raised during the WRT manufacturing process. The increase could be due to the degradation of ester catechins to GA and non-ester catechins (EGC, EC and C) by enzymatic conversion, microorganisms, and thermal reaction (Ölmez and Yılmaz, 2009).

3.1.3. Free amino acids in addition to theanine

Twenty amino acids, including eight essential amino acids were quantitated during the WRT processing (Figure 3). Hydroxyproline (Hyp) was not detected in all tea samples. Some amino acids, such as glutamic acid, glutamine, methionine, tryptophan, histidine, arginine, and proline (Glu, Gln, Met, Trp, His, Arg and Pro, respectively) were not detected in the final product tea, Met, His and Arg were not found in FTL, but were identified during the process and disappeared again after the roasting procedure. Most amino acids were detected in trace amounts not exceeding 1 mg/g except for aspartic acid (Asp), Glu, Gln, which showed levels higher than 1
**Figure 3.** The concentrations of free amino acids during Wuyi rock tea manufacturing processes. (I) Bitter-tasting amino acids, (a) Val; (b) Ile; (c) Leu; (d) Tyr; (e) Phe; (f) Trp; (g) Lys; (h) His; (i) Arg. (II) Sweet-tasting amino acids, (j) Thr; (k) Ser; (l) Gin; (m) Gly; (n) Ala; (o) Met; (p) Pro. (III) Umami-tasting amino acids, (q) Asp; (r) Glu. (IV) Other amino acid, (s) Cys.
Figure 3. (continued)
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In some tea samples, the contents of individual amino acid differed significantly during different stages of the WRT process. In tea processing, the changes in the amino acid concentration are related to the hydrolysis of proteins, and the oxidation, decarboxylation and thermal reaction of amino acids (Qu et al., 2019; Kausar et al., 2013), subsequently leading to the increase or decrease in amino acid amount. The contents of most amino acids increased due to the hydrolysis and thermal degradation of proteins during withering and fixing, especially during the making phase, and then decreased probably because the amino acids were degraded by thermal reactions after the fixing stage, in which enzyme activities were inactivated (Figure 2). Amino acids are also substrates and intermediates of important biochemical reactions. The variations in the levels of alanine (Ala) and Glu, could be related to the changes of theanine and ethylamine (Deng et al., 2009). In addition, most amino acids, such as phenylalanine (Phe), glycine (Gly), valine (Val), Ala, and Met are important aroma precursors. They react in the Maillard reaction with sugars to liberate volatile compounds with pyrrole, pyrazine, pyran or furan structures. Amino acids also can be transformed to alcohols, phenols or indole (Shahidi et al., 1997). The amino acids Glu, Gln, Met, Trp, His, Arg and Pro were not detected in heat-treated tea samples, suggesting that they were thermally degraded to volatile components. In addition to their contribution to the generation of tea aroma, they are also accountable for the tea taste (Zhang et al., 2019). The amino acids were grouped into three categories, umami, sweet and bitter according to their different taste properties (Mao et al., 2018). The latter two categories contained more amino acids, 8 and 9, respectively, but the umami group had the highest quantity (Figure 4). Glutamic acid (Glu), which is the major contributor for umami taste together with Asp, was the most abundant amino acid and the only one whose content exceeded 1 mg/g in fresh tea leaves of WRT, and then gradually decreased during the processing. In contrast to the changes of the Glu concentration, the content of Gly with sweet taste, and His, Phe and Val with bitter taste showed a similar pattern. Their concentrations peaked in theFx-OT stage and then decreased significantly. The FF-WRT showed lower amounts of all amino acids than FTL, except for lysine (Lys), tyrosine (Tyr), leucine (Leu), isoleucine (Ile), Val, and Phe.

3.1.3. Total sugar

The amount of total sugar was analyzed in tea samples during the WRT processing (Figure 2b, the data of total sugar in FF-WRT, SRt-OT and FTL was adapted from Guo et al. (2018)).
and Dot values are listed in Table 1. 

Generally, compounds having Dot value of higher than one are assumed to have a positive contribution to the taste of the food. Due to the high Dot value of 216.8 in FF-WRT it has a positive contribution to the bitter taste of WRT. Other bitter-tasting compounds with Dot factors of less than one have by definition a minor or no effect on the taste of WRT. Similar to group II (bitter-tasting compounds), the sweet-tasting amino acids do not have a positive effect on taste of tea due to Dot factors of less than one except for total sugar. Assuming that the total sugar consists only of glucose, a Dot factor greater than 1 (5.00 in FF-WRT) was calculated in all tea samples, so the sugars were the main contributors for the sweet taste of WRT. Compounds imparting umami-like taste were L-theanine, Asp, and Glu with Dot factors lower than one in FF-WRT. These compounds have little impact on the umami taste of WRT, although the Dot factor was above one in tea samples before full fire processing. The concentrations of umami-like taste compounds decreased significantly after fixing, and sharply decreased due to full fire processing. This indicates that especially heat treatment and high intensity drying had a significant influence on the umami taste of WRT.

Descriptors are used for describing the taste of a compound. The taste compounds that have similar taste properties were grouped together, allowing a taste spectrum with several taste types to be formed to create a taste profile of WRT (Liu et al., 2018; Scharbert and Hofmann, 2005). Four taste types were defined for tea including astringent, bitter, sweet, and umami-like (Table 1). Accordingly, to further understand the variations of the taste compounds during the WRT processing, taste profile webs were established for the non-volatile components for twelve tea samples (Figure 5a). The figure coordinates represent the sum of the Dot factors of the taste compounds of the same taste quality with natural logarithms computation. The primary characteristic taste properties of FTL were a strong astringent taste, moderate bitter taste, weak sweet and umami-like taste (Figure 5a). The intensity of the astringent and bitter taste changed little during the manufacturing process, because of the chemical stability of EGCG and caffeine, and there was no significant variation in the intensity of the sweet taste. However, the tea samples obtained after thermal treatment showed a reduced intensity of the umami-like taste, in particular the FF-WRT sample. The taste spectrum of the tea infusion during the production process could be determined by calculating the Dot factors. However, since the taste of tea also depends on the interactions of the compounds, the taste profile must be assessed from a sensorial point of view.

### 3.3. Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation is an important method for comprehensive assessment of tea taste, and it can clearly distinguish the influence of tea processing on the taste of tea (Nie et al., 2019). Therefore, tea samples of SRt-OT and FF-WRT taken before and after full firing processing were selected for sensory evaluation, in order to analyze the effect of full fire processing on the formation of WRT flavor. The detailed scores on intensity of taste attributes were shown in Table S3. There were significant differences in the taste assessments described for SRt-OT with a strong mellow, sweet, moderately astringent, bitter, thick and heavy taste whereas FF-WRT had

Table 1 shows that the Dot values of catechins, which have an astringent taste, were all above one during the WRT manufacturing process. Three astringent-tasting compounds, EGCG, EGC and ECG, exhibited Dot factors greater than 60 in the finished product tea, and greater than 100 during the WRT manufacturing process suggesting that they can contribute significantly to the astringent taste of tea. In particular the contribution of EGCG was the highest with Dot factors exceeding 300. Caffeine was the only compound with a Dot factor greater than one in the group of compounds imparting bitter taste. 

![Figure 4. The content of amino acid category during Wuyi rock tea manufacturing processes.](image-url)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tastant</th>
<th>Threshold (μmol/L)</th>
<th>FTL</th>
<th>Wi-OT</th>
<th>1S-OT</th>
<th>2S-OT</th>
<th>3S-OT</th>
<th>4S-OT</th>
<th>5S-OT</th>
<th>Fx-OT</th>
<th>Ro-OT</th>
<th>FRt-OT</th>
<th>SRt-OT</th>
<th>FF-WRT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group I: Astringent-tasting compounds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>28.30</td>
<td>29.51</td>
<td>28.60</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>33.68</td>
<td>34.53</td>
<td>33.92</td>
<td>32.17</td>
<td>36.70</td>
<td>42.51</td>
<td>47.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGC</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>45.46</td>
<td>118.49</td>
<td>127.40</td>
<td>128.28</td>
<td>129.41</td>
<td>124.70</td>
<td>84.52</td>
<td>70.95</td>
<td>102.98</td>
<td>117.54</td>
<td>65.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>11.26</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGC</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>325.85</td>
<td>575.78</td>
<td>559.61</td>
<td>528.73</td>
<td>519.54</td>
<td>511.73</td>
<td>480.05</td>
<td>348.35</td>
<td>337.33</td>
<td>396.92</td>
<td>455.82</td>
<td>380.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>22.56</td>
<td>32.08</td>
<td>31.56</td>
<td>34.01</td>
<td>34.38</td>
<td>34.56</td>
<td>33.97</td>
<td>26.71</td>
<td>26.38</td>
<td>29.12</td>
<td>29.63</td>
<td>19.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGC</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>77.55</td>
<td>80.25</td>
<td>81.64</td>
<td>83.20</td>
<td>80.77</td>
<td>80.77</td>
<td>81.38</td>
<td>71.90</td>
<td>73.21</td>
<td>78.51</td>
<td>83.38</td>
<td>66.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>509.21 ± 14.66</td>
<td>834.87 ± 54.98</td>
<td>845.84 ± 25.52</td>
<td>823.38 ± 27.53</td>
<td>812.48 ± 19.55</td>
<td>812.48 ± 27.53</td>
<td>806.56 ± 25.52</td>
<td>771.62 ± 40.95</td>
<td>579.26 ± 46.45</td>
<td>553.69 ± 17.29</td>
<td>658.42 ± 22.09</td>
<td>743.55 ± 19.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group II: Bitter-tasting compounds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAFF</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>206.40</td>
<td>215.77</td>
<td>212.47</td>
<td>212.99</td>
<td>214.74</td>
<td>214.02</td>
<td>217.42</td>
<td>215.67</td>
<td>229.67</td>
<td>214.33</td>
<td>219.06</td>
<td>216.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>58,000</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>206.64 ± 0.22</td>
<td>216.49 ± 8.86</td>
<td>213.37 ± 4.33</td>
<td>214.47 ± 18.55</td>
<td>216.40 ± 2.43</td>
<td>216.26 ± 10.38</td>
<td>219.70 ± 6.92</td>
<td>217.63 ± 3.44</td>
<td>215.83 ± 5.49</td>
<td>220.77 ± 3.51</td>
<td>217.06 ± 3.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group III: Sweet-tasting compounds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sugar</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypro</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
an extremely strong mellow, strong sweet, moderately astringent, heavy, thick, and bitter taste (Figure 5b). The FF-WRT samples possessed a higher intensity of mellow and heavy taste, but a lower bitter taste. No significant difference was observed in both samples for the other three taste attributes. Consistent with the prediction of the taste profiles of FF-WRT, there was no umami-like taste attribute. FF-WRT showed a significantly lower bitter taste than SRt-OT, which could be covered by the taste of other ingredients (Khanum et al., 2017). The results of the sensory evaluation indicate that full fire processing is essential for the generation of the WRT taste characteristics, as it enhances the mellow, heavy taste and weakens the bitter taste, while sweetness, astringency and thick taste is maintained.
4. Conclusions

Although Wuyi rock tea is appreciated for its ‘rock flavor’, and is gaining popularity for its special taste and aroma, there is no comprehensive comparative analysis of the effects of the individual production steps on the quality of the product. The present work indicates that tea manufacturing has an important effect on the WRT taste, which can be judged by the changes occurring in the chemical composition of caffeine, catechins, gallic acid, L-theanine, total sugar and free amino acids. In addition, taste profiles calculated by Dose-over-threshold values and sensory evaluation during the WRT manufacturing processes showed significant differences. Taste profiles were predicted by calculating the Dot factors of compounds with similar taste qualities, such as astringent, bitter, sweet and umami taste attributes during the WRT processing. Consistent with the sensory evaluation, there was no umami-like taste in FF-WRT after full fire processing, which was crucial for the final taste formation of WRT. Full fire processing enhanced the intensity of mellow, and heavy taste, while it weakened the intensity of the bitter taste. EGCG, caffeine and L-theanine were the main taste compounds in finished product tea and the processing samples with high Dot values, and were the main contributors for the astringent, bitter and umami taste, respectively. To a certain extent, the use of taste profiles can predict the basic taste impressions, but these should always be combined with a sensory evaluation in order to fully reveal the taste properties of the tea. Furthermore, the ‘Rock flavor’ of WRT should be the comprehensive presentation of aroma and taste, so further studies are needed to investigate the change of volatile and nonvolatile compounds and detail sugar profiles during tea processing and reveal the characteristic flavor profiles of mineral note and charcoal roasted odor.
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